

To: Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]; Montgomery, Michael[Montgomery.Michael@epa.gov]
Cc: Keener, Bill[Keener.Bill@epa.gov]; Zito, Kelly[ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV]
From: Mogharabi, Nahal
Sent: Mon 2/2/2015 8:36:56 PM
Subject: Fwd: Dec. 22 letter

Hi David, please see the below from the reporter AP. Is there anything new that we can give her? Did we get the February 6 response?

Nahal

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Knickmeyer, Ellen" <EKnickmeyer@ap.org>
Date: February 2, 2015 at 12:22:35 PM PST
To: "Mogharabi, Nahal" <MOGHARABI.NAHAL@EPA.GOV>
Subject: RE: Dec. 22 letter

Hi, Nahal,

Just doublechecking to see if the number of UIC wells under review in this Class II injection well issue has gone up or down. Can you tell me if the EPA/state still is looking at the 532 wells in non-hydro formations, and 2021 wells in hydro formations, as it reviews California permitting of UIC wells into possibly non-exempt aquifers? Or has that number changed?

And are you all still waiting for the state's response by Feb. 6? Nothing new?

We're supposed to go with our (long in the works) story on the issue in next few days, so want to make sure we're not missing anything new/any changes.

Thanks again,

Ellen

